

Common Law Courts

Great Britain & International

McLAGGAN HOUSE, 1 WURZBURG COURT, DUNDEE, DD2 1FB

17th October 2021

COURT ORDERS

CONFIRMING THE VERDICT OF THE COURT

In the matter of

The People (represented by John Smith of Great Britain)

(Plaintiffs)

v

All World Governments and Statutory Authorities

(Defendants)

Dated: **17th October 2021**

The initial date for this case was set for the 10th October 2021 but due to another hearing which took longer than expected this hearing was adjourned for one week and was heard on 17th October 2021.

As part of the Plaintiffs case, the following positions were accepted and established as **facts in law,** copies of the certificates were also lodged as evidence.

1. A Common Law Court Birth Certificate for John Smith had been obtained, confirming his standing as a living man, under the jurisdiction of the Common Law Court.
2. A Business Ownership Certificate for the Fictious Name (MR JOHN SMITH) had been obtained by John Smith (the living man), confirming not only his ownership of this but that it also fell under the jurisdiction of the Common Law Court.

In relation to the Plaintiffs case, John Smith first read the following Declaration of the Common Law Court, this confirmed the standing of all living men and women under its authority.



Common Law Courts

Great Britain & International

Declaration of the Common Law Court

3rd January 2019

To all living men and women, we hereby stand united and submit this declaration to the Common Law Court 'Book of Deeds' for preservation for all time.

We the people have inherent birth rights, established by our creator, which protect us from harm, loss and injury from others. For many years now, men and women have been subjected to criminal and fraudulent behaviour by the state's actions which have been imposed upon us, against our will, by both criminal coercion and legal deception. Fear and fiction have thus combined to bind us into slavery.

The state has committed attacks, injustices, killings, robberies, theft, unlawful imprisonment and countless other enormous outrages against the people, sparing no one on account of age, sex or position. To this robbery, slaughter and plunder they give the lying name of "Government", they have stripped us of our ancient freedoms and call it "progress".

We, the people, can no longer endure such assaults on our loved ones and personal freedoms and hereby confirm our inherent right to stand under the jurisdiction of the Common Law. Based, as it is, on the timeless laws which govern the conduct of men and were established by our creator in days of old and remembered and loved by our fathers as they built our nations. We will hold to this without ceasing for any man.

Borrowing from the declaration of our ancestors, made in Arbroath in 1320, we declare that, as long as but a hundred of us remain alive, never will we on any conditions be brought under mandatory rule by legal statute. It is in truth not for glory, nor riches, nor honours that we are fighting, but for freedom – for that alone, which no honest man gives up but with life itself.

This declaration is signed below by a sample of the men and women who stand united under the jurisdiction of the Common Law Court. This declaration is hereby recorded in to the Common Law Court 'Book of Deeds' on the 3rd January 2019 for preservation for all time.

On behalf of the people, John Smith then presented his Statement of Claim, which consisted of details of the dispute, the alleged wrongs and the remedy sought.



The Dispute

In relation to the dispute, the charges issued against the Defendants have been raised because of their criminal, fraudulent and unlawful behaviour.

It was stated that the Defendants were guilty of targeting the Plaintiffs and their personal property (children) to cause them harm, loss, and injury. It was pointed out that the Defendants, as with all men and women were subject to common law and are held accountable for their actions in their personal and private capacity.

Alleged Wrong

The Defendants while committing crimes against the people have caused them harm, loss, and injury.

1. It had been confirmed that the Defendants were knowingly binding the people into slavery through the use of criminal coercion (attaching a legal entity/fiction to a living man/woman without their knowledge and consent).

   The Plaintiff spoke at length to explain the following:

   Within the statutory system, when a child is born, the parents are required to complete a birth entry, for which they will receive a birth certificate.

   When the birth entry has been made the state create a legal entity/fiction which they attach to the child and for the rest of their life, the government/state use this to conduct business with them.

   It was pointed out that as this involved more than one party, accordingly to the statutory system it is a contract (it involves the child, their parents and the state).

   In relation to contract law, for a contract to be valid, various conditions must be met, however one was referred to, was there full disclosure? Meaning, was every party aware of what was happening.

   As the answer to the above was no, it confirms that the contract was unlawful and void. This means that the use of this name/legal entity/fiction was criminal and fraudulent.

   It was pointed out that unless the people had made a declaration of their birth with the Common Law Court, they do not exist as living men and women. There is no other form of ID available for living men and women unless it's confirmed by a lawful court.

The only ID available for confirming your standing were state documents, Driving Licence, Passport, Bank Card, Credit Card, National Insurance, and health cards etc. Unfortunately, these forms of ID only refer to the legal entity/fiction and not the living man or woman.

2. The Defendants were using statutory laws to target living men and women.

   Once the legal entity/fiction has been accepted by a living man or woman, they are then bound by statutory rules. To put it another way, once you accept their slave name (e.g. MR JOHN SMITH) you are bound by their rules.

   The excuse used to justify their fraud is that once you accept their legal entity/fiction you become a citizen within their society. The benefits of this are that you receive privileges.

   It was then pointed out that the title citizen is a legal title (confirming you are a slave), the term society is also a legal term confirming that you are bound by state rules and the privileges that you are given, come at a cost. It should be remembered that you are already entitled to these privileges as a birth right, however the government/state do not make any money unless they get to charge for them.

3. The Defendants have imprisoned the people unlawfully as they have instigated various lockdowns throughout the world, using fraudulent and false information to do so.

   The government/state use the legal entity/fiction to enforce so called laws against you. These so-called laws are not laws, as for them to become a law you have to consent to them.

4. The Defendants have failed to confirm that there is no covid virus and that they have failed to isolate one.

5. The Defendants are rolling out covid vaccinations despite the fact that they have not been tested properly. Vaccinations in certain countries are now mandatory and the children are now being targeted.

   At this point the Plaintiff showed two videos, the first was taken from facebook and showed what looked like a female being dragged out of her house in Thailand to be vaccinated and the second was taken from tiktok. The Plaintiff made a point of wishing to thank the lady in the second video as she expressed her concerns about the vaccinations (both videos attached).

6. Despite the fact that living men and women have ~~the~~ right to travel freely, the Defendants are now restricting travel by implementing restrictions.

Confirming that as slaves you do not have the right to travel, you are bound by the rules of slavery and for those who are not, you are forced to comply.

7. The Defendants are enforcing the wearing of face masks against living men, women and children, causing them harm, loss and injury.

In relation to this issue, the Plaintiff referred to three documents which were submitted as evidence, the documents were the following:

a) A statement from a government website https://www.gove.uk/guidance/high-consequence-infectious-diseases-hcid

Status of COVID-19

As of 19 March 2020, COVID-19 is no longer considered to be a high consequence infectious disease (HCID) in the UK.

Despite confirming the above, it was pointed out that the government released this information just before the UK went into lockdown.

b) **THE NUREMBERG CODE (1947)**

Permissible Medical Experiments

The great weight of the evidence before us to effect that certain types of medical experiments on human beings, when kept within reasonably well-defined bounds, conform to the ethics of the medical profession generally. The protagonists of the practice of human experimentation justify their views on the basis that such experiments yield results for the good of society that are unprocurable by other methods or means of study. All agree, however, that certain basic principles must be observed in order to satisfy moral, ethical and legal concepts:

1. *The voluntary consent of the human subject is absolutely essential. This means that the person involved should have legal capacity to give consent; should be so situated as to be able to exercise free power of choice, without the intervention of any element of force, fraud, deceit, duress, overreaching, or other ulterior form of constraint or coercion; and should have sufficient knowledge and comprehension of the elements of the subject matter involved as to enable him to make an understanding and enlightened decision. This latter element requires that before the acceptance of an affirmative decision by the experimental subject there should be made known to him the nature, duration, and purpose of the experiment; the method and means by which it is to be conducted; all inconveniences and hazards reasonably to be expected; and the effects upon his health or person which may possibly come from his participation in the experiment. The duty and responsibility for ascertaining the quality of the*

consent rests upon each individual who initiates, directs, or engages in the experiment. It is a personal duty and responsibility which may not be delegated to another with impunity.

2. The experiment should be such as to yield fruitful results for the good of society, unprocurable by other methods or means of study, and not random and unnecessary in nature.

3. The experiment should be so designed and based on the results of animal experimentation and a knowledge of the natural history of the disease or other problem under study that the anticipated results justify the performance of the experiment.

4. The experiment should be so conducted as to avoid all unnecessary physical and mental suffering and injury.

5. No experiment should be conducted where there is an a priori reason to believe that death or disabling injury will occur; except, perhaps, in those experiments where the experimental physicians also serve as subjects.

6. The degree of risk to be taken should never exceed that determined by the humanitarian importance of the problem to be solved by the experiment.

7. Proper preparations should be made and adequate facilities provided to protect the experimental subject against even remote possibilities of injury, disability or death.

8. The experiment should be conducted only by scientifically qualified persons. The highest degree of skill and care should be required through all stages of the experiment of those who conduct or engage in the experiment.

9. During the course of the experiment the human subject should be at liberty to bring the experiment to an end if he has reached the physical or mental state where continuation of the experiment seems to him to be impossible.

10.During the course of the experiment the scientist in charge must be prepared to terminate the experiment at any stage, if he has probable cause to believe, in the exercise of the good faith, superior skill and careful judgment required of him, that a continuation of the experiment is likely to result in injury, disability, or death to the experimental subject.

This confirms that the Defendants were guilty of failing to comply with the Nuremberg Code and that they are guilty of causing harm, loss, and injury as a result.

c) **The United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights 1948**

Article 4

No one shall be held in slavery or servitude; slavery and the slave trade shall be prohibited in all their forms.

Article 5

No one shall be subjected to torture or to cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment.

Article 7

All are equal before the law and are entitled without any discrimination to equal protection of the law. All are entitled to equal protection against any discrimination in violation of this Declaration and against any incitement to such discrimination.

Article 12

No one shall be subjected to arbitrary interference with his privacy, family, home or correspondence, nor to attacks upon his honour and reputation. Everyone has the right to the protection of the law against such interference or attacks.

Article 13

1. Everyone has the right to freedom of movement and residence within the borders of each State.

2. Everyone has the right to leave any country, including his own, and to return to his country.

Article 20

2. No one may be compelled to belong to an association.

This confirms that the Defendants are all guilty of failing to comply with this Declaration, they are guilty of binding the people into slavery and are guilty of causing harm, loss, and injury as a result.

8. The Defendants are guilty of causing harm, loss, and injury to all business owners through the enforcement of unlawful closures, legislation, and restrictions.

The use of covid legislation is criminal, it is not lawful and despite their insistence, it is not law. For this legislation to carry the force of law it requires consent.

By enforcing this legislation, the Defendants are binding the people into slavery, they are ruining the economy, running a police state, and causing harm, loss, and injury to the people.

9. The Defendants are guilty of assisting business owners to dismiss people who refuse to comply with covid legislation, e.g. the refusal to wear a mask or to be vaccinated.

   In doing so these companies are assisting with binding the people into slavery, they are failing to comply with the UN Declaration of Human Rights 1948, the Nuremberg Code 1947, the Declaration of the Common Law Court 2019 and are guilty of causing harm, loss, and injury to living men and women.

10. The Defendants are guilty of enforcing the compulsory testing for covid.

    In doing so the defendants are failing to comply with the Nuremberg Code 1947 and the Declaration of the Common Law Court 2019. The Defendants are also, through their actions, binding the people into slavery.

11. The Defendants are guilty of causing harm, loss, and injury to our children through the closure of schools, statutory restrictions, and vaccinations.

    The statutory legislation is used to assist with binding the people into slavery.

    The closure of schools has a huge impact on the development of children, their education and interaction with others.

    Many children are also having issues with confidence, depression, and social behaviour, in many cases resulting in suicide.

12. The Defendants are guilty of causing harm, loss, and injury through the unlawful enforcement of statutory legislation, restricting our inherent rights to hold public gatherings and our rights to move freely and to travel.

    The use of Covid legislation to restrict the inherent rights of living men and women is unlawful and not law. The living men and women who stand under the Declaration of the Common Law Court do not consent to these rules and as such they are not law, they also do not carry the force of law.

    The enforcement of these laws/rules is unlawful under common law/natural law/the law of the land/the creators law/god's law/the Nuremberg Code 1947 and the UN

Declaration on Human Rights 1948.

13. The Defendants are guilty of limiting and restricting access to various venues through the use of health passports.

    These health passports are binding the people into slavery, they are refusing to respect the inherent rights of living men and women and are unlawfully being enforced through criminal coercion.

14. The Defendants are guilty of failing to acknowledge, to comply with or respect the authority of the people.

    The state, government and statutory authorities receive their authority from the people, this fact has been established.

    The position of living men and women has also been established with the declarations submitted to the Common Law Court.

    By refusing to acknowledge the position of living men and women, the Defendants are binding the people into slavery.

    The Defendants have established a police state which they are using to cause harm, loss, and injury.

15. In addition to the crimes committed by the Defendants they are also guilty of genocide.

    Covid legislation is being used forcibly to target living men and women, the vaccinations that they are using, have not been tested and are also gene altering.

    The mainstream media is also complicit in these crimes.

In relation to the Statement of Claim and the supporting evidence presented this day by John Smith on behalf of the people, we the Jury, consisting of twelve reasonably minded men and women and having considered all the evidence presented at this hearing, issue the following orders:

1. We the Jury issue an order which confirms that the Defendants are guilty and have acted unlawfully and criminally in relation to the following crimes:



Common Law Courts

Great Britain & International

An Abuse of Position

The Defendants, by their failure to obtain consent, authority and/or jurisdiction have committed harm, loss, and injury against the people and have used their positions and statutory legislation to target an innocent living man and living woman.

The Defendants while abusing their positions are also guilty of binding the people into slavery.

Criminal Coercion

The Defendants are guilty of the unlawful act of attempting to force living men and living women to do something, by way of accepting a legal fiction which they wished to attach to them.

The legal fictions (Fictitious Names) ownership has been established through the Common Law Court, the business ownership certificates are court issued deeds and are established as a FACT IN LAW. The Plaintiff had already established this fact during the following trial in Paisley Sheriff Court (Case Ref. PA18004245) and at a previous Common Law Court hearing.

False Imprisonment

The Defendants are guilty of the unlawful imprisonment of living men and women, that stand under the Declaration of the Common Law Court, through the use of covid legislation.

The use of the state police for enforcement, confirms additional crimes committed against the people.

It was also noted that with false imprisonment the Defendants are also guilty of binding the people into slavery.

Uttering

The Defendants are guilty of the crime uttering, of knowingly using forged and/or counterfeit documents to defraud. This includes the use of unlawful court orders, legislation, warrants and the legal fiction.

Note: The Declaration of the Common Law Court

'We the people have inherent birth rights, established by our creator, which protect us from harm, loss and injury from others.'

The Universal Declaration of Human Rights 1948

Article 4

No one shall be held in slavery or servitude; slavery and the slave trade shall be prohibited in all their forms.

Article 5

No one shall be subjected to torture or to cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment.

Article 12

No one shall be subjected to arbitrary interference with his privacy, family, home or correspondence, nor to attacks upon his honour and reputation. Everyone has the right to the protection of the law against such interference or attacks.

Article 13

1. Everyone has the right to freedom of movement and residence within the borders of each State.

2. Everyone has the right to leave any country, including his own, and to return to his country.

Article 20

2. No one may be compelled to belong to an association.

THE NUREMBERG CODE (1947)

Permissible Medical Experiments

The great weight of the evidence before us to effect that certain types of medical experiments on human beings, when kept within reasonably well-defined bounds, conform to the ethics of the medical profession generally. The protagonists of the practice of human experimentation justify their views on the basis that such experiments yield results for the good of society that are unprocurable by other methods or means of study. ***All agree, however, that certain basic principles must be observed in order to satisfy moral, ethical and legal concepts.***

Fraud

The Defendants are guilty of the deliberate deception used to obtain an unlawful gain, this is applicable to the use of the legal fiction and the issues of authority and jurisdiction which had been taken, but not established.

Note.

The Universal Declaration of Human Rights 1948

Article 4
No one shall be held in slavery or servitude; slavery and the slave trade shall be prohibited in all their forms (this would include the use of the legal fiction).

Failure to protect employment positions and/or qualifications

The Defendants are guilty of causing harm, loss, and injury through binding the people into slavery, the unlawful enforcement for their rules, intimidation, threats, and their failure to comply with common law and statutory legislation.

Having submitted their declaration of birth to the Common Law Court, living men and women are exempt for statutory rules, this is something that the Defendants are refusing to accept and/or acknowledge, bearing in mind that they work for the people.

The Plaintiff had confirmed earlier that slavery was never abolished, despite what we have been told. The people are still bound into slavery through the use of the legal fiction, but the state have simply disguised this fact. **This fraud is the largest crime in history, committed against people throughout the world.**

Failure to accept the positions of a living man and woman

The Defendants are guilty of failing to acknowledge the position of a living man and living woman. The people have confirmed their standing as living men and living women by recording their declarations of birth with the Common Law Court, this also confirms that they stand under the authority and jurisdiction of the Common Law Court.

Note:

The Declaration of the Common Law Court

'For many years now, men and women have been subjected to criminal and fraudulent behaviour by the state's actions which have been imposed upon us.



against our will, by both criminal coercion and legal deception. Fear and fiction have thus combined to bind us into slavery.'

The Universal Declaration of Human Rights 1948

Article 20
No one may be compelled to belong to an association.

Refusal to comply with common law

Under common law, the Defendants are guilty and held personally accountable in their private capacity for their refusal to comply with common law and for the harm, loss, and injury caused.

The Defendants cannot hide behind the statutory system while being paid by the people.

Refusal to comply with statutory legislation

The Defendants are guilty of Malfeasance.

The Defendants work for corporations that have no authority over living men and living woman.

In proceeding with the covid legislation and enforcement, the Defendants have failed to identify the parties involved, living men/women or legal fictions. NO IDENTIFIED PARTIES, NO AUTHORITY AND NO JURISDICTION.

Note:

Contract Law

The failure to fully comply with the requirements for a lawful contract, voids any such contract from the beginning. The Defendants have failed to comply with the requirement for full disclosure.

The above facts confirmed that the use of covid legislation against living men and women is unlawful.

Refusal to comply with United Nations Human Rights Charter 1948

The information above confirms that the Defendants are guilty of failing to comply with the above charter and in doing so have endangered their countries positions as signatories.

The Defendants in doing so are also guilty of committing crimes against the people.

Note

The Universal Declaration of Human Rights 1948

Article 18
Everyone has the right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion; this right includes freedom to change his religion or belief, and freedom, either alone or in community with others and in public or private, to manifest his religion or belief in teaching, practice, worship and observance.

Refusal to comply with the Nuremberg Code 1947

The Nuremberg Code 1947 was created after the second world war to protect he people from unlawful medical experiments. This code was meant to ensure that the atrocities and crimes committed during the war never happened again.

The Defendants are guilty of failing to comply with this code and in doing so are guilty of committing crimes against living men and women.

Genocide

The Defendants are guilty of genocide *(the deliberate killing of a large number of people from a particular nation or ethnic group with the aim of destroying that nation or group).*

The Defendants behaviour has been established through the following:

a) The use of unlawful legislation that they have put into place,

b) the unlawful enforcement of this legislation,

c) their refusal to acknowledge the standing of the people and their authority,

d) forcing the people into slavery, and

e) their refusal to comply with the following:

Common Law	Natural Law
Universal Law	the law of the land
the creator's law, and	Gods law



2. **It is hereby ordered** that after a unanimous decision of the court, the Defendants may not enforce compliance with any statutory legislation against anyone who has recorded their birth declaration with the Common Law Court.

3. **It is hereby ordered** that after a unanimous decision of the court, it is unlawful to vaccinate anyone without their consent, who have recorded their birth declaration with the Common Law Court.

4. **It is hereby ordered** after a unanimous decision of the court, that the use of health passports, covid and travel restrictions against anyone who has recorded their birth declaration is unlawful.

5. **It is hereby ordered** that after a unanimous decision of the court, the dismissal from an employment position, restrictions relating to employment or the removal of professional qualifications due to the failure to comply with covid related statutory legislation or company policy is a crime against anyone who has recorded their birth declaration with the Common Law Court.

   Anyone guilty of this crime will be held personally liable for damages in their personal and private capacity.

6. **It is hereby ordered** that after a unanimous decision of the court, any individual in possession of a CLC Card is exempt from complying with covid and statutory legislation, these CLC Cards carry with them the authority of the people.

7. **It is hereby ordered** that after a unanimous decision of the court, to overcome the issues/problems/restrictions relating international travel the Plaintiff is tasked with creating a Common Law Passport, to be used by living men and women to travel freely, throughout the world.

8. **It is hereby ordered** that after a unanimous decision of the court, the Plaintiff is tasked with the issue of creating and establishing the set up Common Law Court diplomatic service, these diplomats are to be appointed and will represent living men and women throughout the world.

In the event that these orders are not complied with, the Common Law Court may take further action against the individual concerned, to enforce its decisions.



Common Law Courts

Great Britain & International

These Court Orders are effective as of this date and will remain in force until such time as they have been enforced. These orders are issued under the authority of this Common Law Court and jus gentium, the Law of Nations.

IT IS SO ORDERED THIS 17th DAY OF OCTOBER 2021 AT 2.45 PM, GMT

PRESIDING SHERIFF AND THE COURT SECRETARY OF THE COMMON LAW COURT, GREAT BRITAIN.

(Signature of the Sheriff)

(Signature of the Court Secretary)

Court Order: CO/DUN/21/1



Website: www.commonlawcourt.com

E-mail: info@commonlawcourt.com